



**Staff : DR. G. SATHI DEVI**

**Subject : MAT102 - VECTOR CALCULUS, DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND TRANSFORMS**

**Session Name: End Evaluation**

**Batch : BTech KTU MA 2K19**

**Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020**

**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

**Users Completed : 55**

**Overall Score : 71.11**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	19	34.55%	<b>337.25</b>
		Good	14.13	23	41.82%	<b>324.99</b>
		Fair	10.28	7	12.73%	<b>71.96</b>
		Poor	3.53	4	7.27%	<b>14.12</b>
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	3.64%	<b>16</b>
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	13	23.64%	<b>188.5</b>
		Good	11.81	22	40%	<b>259.82</b>
		Fair	8.11	12	21.82%	<b>97.32</b>
		Poor	2.01	7	12.73%	<b>14.07</b>
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.82%	<b>4.09</b>
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	15	27.27%	<b>112.5</b>
		Good	5.71	18	32.73%	<b>102.78</b>
		Fair	4.04	15	27.27%	<b>60.6</b>
		Poor	1.13	5	9.09%	<b>5.65</b>
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	3.64%	<b>4.42</b>
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	29	52.73%	<b>169.65</b>
		10 to 25	3.66	8	14.55%	<b>29.28</b>
		More than 25	1.91	6	10.91%	<b>11.46</b>
		Can't Judge	1.64	12	21.82%	<b>19.68</b>
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	30	54.55%	<b>120</b>
Yes		0.67	17	30.91%	<b>11.39</b>	
Can't Judge		1.65	8	14.55%	<b>13.2</b>	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	13	23.64%	<b>120.25</b>
		Satisfactory	6.34	27	49.09%	<b>171.18</b>
		Inadequate	2.50	11	20%	<b>27.5</b>
		Can't Judge	3.16	4	7.27%	<b>12.64</b>
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	30	54.55%	<b>142.5</b>
		Too Fast	1.34	10	18.18%	<b>13.4</b>
		Can't Judge	1.40	15	27.27%	<b>21</b>
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	24	43.64%	<b>165.12</b>
		Some Times	3.89	23	41.82%	<b>89.47</b>
		No	0.74	4	7.27%	<b>2.96</b>
		Can't Judge	1.78	4	7.27%	<b>7.12</b>
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	26	47.27%	<b>175.5</b>
		Indifferent	2.78	14	25.45%	<b>38.92</b>
		Unpleasant	1.28	7	12.73%	<b>8.96</b>
		Can't Judge	1.94	8	14.55%	<b>15.52</b>
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	35	63.64%	<b>402.5</b>
		Not Sincere	1.33	3	5.45%	<b>3.99</b>
		Unable to Judge	5.06	12	21.82%	<b>60.72</b>
		Blank	4.03	5	9.09%	<b>20.15</b>
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	12	21.82%	<b>135</b>
		Good	9.03	22	40%	<b>198.66</b>
		Fair	6.46	11	20%	<b>71.06</b>
		Poor	1.56	5	9.09%	<b>7.8</b>
		Can't Judge	2.03	5	9.09%	<b>10.15</b>

## **Head of The Department**

### **Strength and Weakness**

- voice
- Better useage of Online teaching tools. Need to improve overall teaching method.
- nice teaching method
- .

### **Special Remarks**

- Indifferent behavior towards students. Favouing some over the others. The teacher does not like the Co-educational system.
- good
- .



**Staff : SRI. SARATHCHANDRAN K.G.**

**Subject : EST102 - PROGRAMMING IN C**

**Session Name: End Evaluation**

**Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020**

**Users Completed : 55**

**Batch : BTech KTU MA 2K19**

**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

**Overall Score : 83.18**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	27	49.09%	<b>479.25</b>
		Good	14.13	20	36.36%	<b>282.6</b>
		Fair	10.28	4	7.27%	<b>41.12</b>
		Poor	3.53	3	5.45%	<b>10.59</b>
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.82%	<b>8</b>
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	23	41.82%	<b>333.5</b>
		Good	11.81	21	38.18%	<b>248.01</b>
		Fair	8.11	5	9.09%	<b>40.55</b>
		Poor	2.01	5	9.09%	<b>10.05</b>
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.82%	<b>4.09</b>
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	31	56.36%	<b>232.5</b>
		Good	5.71	15	27.27%	<b>85.65</b>
		Fair	4.04	5	9.09%	<b>20.2</b>
		Poor	1.13	3	5.45%	<b>3.39</b>
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.82%	<b>2.21</b>
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	34	61.82%	<b>198.9</b>
		10 to 25	3.66	7	12.73%	<b>25.62</b>
		More than 25	1.91	4	7.27%	<b>7.64</b>
		Can't Judge	1.64	10	18.18%	<b>16.4</b>
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	41	74.55%	<b>164</b>
Yes		0.67	8	14.55%	<b>5.36</b>	
Can't Judge		1.65	6	10.91%	<b>9.9</b>	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	28	50.91%	<b>259</b>
		Satisfactory	6.34	21	38.18%	<b>133.14</b>
		Inadequate	2.50	4	7.27%	<b>10</b>
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	3.64%	<b>6.32</b>
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	38	69.09%	<b>180.5</b>
		Too Fast	1.34	6	10.91%	<b>8.04</b>
		Can't Judge	1.40	11	20%	<b>15.4</b>
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	38	69.09%	<b>261.44</b>
		Some Times	3.89	12	21.82%	<b>46.68</b>
		No	0.74	1	1.82%	<b>0.74</b>
		Can't Judge	1.78	4	7.27%	<b>7.12</b>
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	46	83.64%	<b>310.5</b>
		Indifferent	2.78	5	9.09%	<b>13.9</b>
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.82%	<b>1.28</b>
		Can't Judge	1.94	3	5.45%	<b>5.82</b>
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	49	89.09%	<b>563.5</b>
		Not Sincere	1.33	3	5.45%	<b>3.99</b>
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Blank	4.03	3	5.45%	<b>12.09</b>
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	26	47.27%	<b>292.5</b>
		Good	9.03	19	34.55%	<b>171.57</b>
		Fair	6.46	5	9.09%	<b>32.3</b>
		Poor	1.56	1	1.82%	<b>1.56</b>
		Can't Judge	2.03	4	7.27%	<b>8.12</b>

**Head of The Department****Strength and Weakness**

- good
- nice lectures
- .

**Special Remarks**

- good
- .



**Staff : SABARI V R**

**Subject : CYT100 - ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY**

**Session Name: End Evaluation**

**Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020**

**Users Completed : 54**

**Batch : BTech KTU MA 2K19**

**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

**Overall Score : 83.7**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	25	46.3%	<b>443.75</b>
		Good	14.13	19	35.19%	<b>268.47</b>
		Fair	10.28	5	9.26%	<b>51.4</b>
		Poor	3.53	4	7.41%	<b>14.12</b>
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.85%	<b>8</b>
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	21	38.89%	<b>304.5</b>
		Good	11.81	25	46.3%	<b>295.25</b>
		Fair	8.11	4	7.41%	<b>32.44</b>
		Poor	2.01	3	5.56%	<b>6.03</b>
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.85%	<b>4.09</b>
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	26	48.15%	<b>195</b>
		Good	5.71	20	37.04%	<b>114.2</b>
		Fair	4.04	4	7.41%	<b>16.16</b>
		Poor	1.13	3	5.56%	<b>3.39</b>
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.85%	<b>2.21</b>
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	34	62.96%	<b>198.9</b>
		10 to 25	3.66	10	18.52%	<b>36.6</b>
		More than 25	1.91	4	7.41%	<b>7.64</b>
		Can't Judge	1.64	6	11.11%	<b>9.84</b>
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	40	74.07%	<b>160</b>
Yes		0.67	8	14.81%	<b>5.36</b>	
Can't Judge		1.65	6	11.11%	<b>9.9</b>	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	30	55.56%	<b>277.5</b>
		Satisfactory	6.34	18	33.33%	<b>114.12</b>
		Inadequate	2.50	3	5.56%	<b>7.5</b>
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	5.56%	<b>9.48</b>
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	45	83.33%	<b>213.75</b>
		Too Fast	1.34	5	9.26%	<b>6.7</b>
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	7.41%	<b>5.6</b>
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	38	70.37%	<b>261.44</b>
		Some Times	3.89	10	18.52%	<b>38.9</b>
		No	0.74	5	9.26%	<b>3.7</b>
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	1.85%	<b>1.78</b>
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	46	85.19%	<b>310.5</b>
		Indifferent	2.78	3	5.56%	<b>8.34</b>
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	3.7%	<b>2.56</b>
		Can't Judge	1.94	3	5.56%	<b>5.82</b>
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	46	85.19%	<b>529</b>
		Not Sincere	1.33	2	3.7%	<b>2.66</b>
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3	5.56%	<b>15.18</b>
		Blank	4.03	3	5.56%	<b>12.09</b>
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	27	50%	<b>303.75</b>
		Good	9.03	18	33.33%	<b>162.54</b>
		Fair	6.46	5	9.26%	<b>32.3</b>
		Poor	1.56	2	3.7%	<b>3.12</b>
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	3.7%	<b>4.06</b>

**Head of The Department****Strength and Weakness**

- not good class
- .

**Special Remarks**

- satisfactory
- .



**Staff : DR.SHIMI LAWRENCE**

**Subject : EST100 - ENGINEERING MECHANICS**

**Session Name: End Evaluation**

**Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020**

**Users Completed : 53**

**Batch : BTech KTU MA 2K19**

**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

**Overall Score : 94.97**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	45	84.91%	<b>798.75</b>
		Good	14.13	6	11.32%	<b>84.78</b>
		Fair	10.28	1	1.89%	<b>10.28</b>
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.89%	<b>8</b>
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	43	81.13%	<b>623.5</b>
		Good	11.81	8	15.09%	<b>94.48</b>
		Fair	8.11	1	1.89%	<b>8.11</b>
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.89%	<b>4.09</b>
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	44	83.02%	<b>330</b>
		Good	5.71	7	13.21%	<b>39.97</b>
		Fair	4.04	1	1.89%	<b>4.04</b>
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.89%	<b>2.21</b>
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	41	77.36%	<b>239.85</b>
		10 to 25	3.66	4	7.55%	<b>14.64</b>
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.89%	<b>1.91</b>
		Can't Judge	1.64	7	13.21%	<b>11.48</b>
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	47	88.68%	<b>188</b>
Yes		0.67	3	5.66%	<b>2.01</b>	
Can't Judge		1.65	3	5.66%	<b>4.95</b>	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	48	90.57%	<b>444</b>
		Satisfactory	6.34	4	7.55%	<b>25.36</b>
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.89%	<b>3.16</b>
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	49	92.45%	<b>232.75</b>
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	7.55%	<b>5.6</b>
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	45	84.91%	<b>309.6</b>
		Some Times	3.89	6	11.32%	<b>23.34</b>
		No	0.74	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	3.77%	<b>3.56</b>
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	51	96.23%	<b>344.25</b>
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	3.77%	<b>3.88</b>
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	51	96.23%	<b>586.5</b>
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	1.89%	<b>5.06</b>
		Blank	4.03	1	1.89%	<b>4.03</b>
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	46	86.79%	<b>517.5</b>
		Good	9.03	5	9.43%	<b>45.15</b>
		Fair	6.46	1	1.89%	<b>6.46</b>
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.89%	<b>2.03</b>

**Head of The Department****Strength and Weakness**

- nice class
- .

**Special Remarks**

- good
- .





**Staff : PROF. (DR.) S.H. ANILKUMAR**

**Subject : EST120 - BASICS OF CIVIL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERING**

**Session Name: End Evaluation**

**Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020**

**Users Completed : 53**

**Batch : BTech KTU MA 2K19**

**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

**Overall Score : 85.5**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	31	58.49%	<b>550.25</b>
		Good	14.13	17	32.08%	<b>240.21</b>
		Fair	10.28	4	7.55%	<b>41.12</b>
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.89%	<b>8</b>
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	28	52.83%	<b>406</b>
		Good	11.81	18	33.96%	<b>212.58</b>
		Fair	8.11	5	9.43%	<b>40.55</b>
		Poor	2.01	1	1.89%	<b>2.01</b>
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.89%	<b>4.09</b>
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	22	41.51%	<b>165</b>
		Good	5.71	24	45.28%	<b>137.04</b>
		Fair	4.04	4	7.55%	<b>16.16</b>
		Poor	1.13	2	3.77%	<b>2.26</b>
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.89%	<b>2.21</b>
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	38	71.7%	<b>222.3</b>
		10 to 25	3.66	7	13.21%	<b>25.62</b>
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.89%	<b>1.91</b>
		Can't Judge	1.64	7	13.21%	<b>11.48</b>
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	41	77.36%	<b>164</b>
Yes		0.67	5	9.43%	<b>3.35</b>	
Can't Judge		1.65	7	13.21%	<b>11.55</b>	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	28	52.83%	<b>259</b>
		Satisfactory	6.34	18	33.96%	<b>114.12</b>
		Inadequate	2.50	3	5.66%	<b>7.5</b>
		Can't Judge	3.16	4	7.55%	<b>12.64</b>
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	38	71.7%	<b>180.5</b>
		Too Fast	1.34	6	11.32%	<b>8.04</b>
		Can't Judge	1.40	9	16.98%	<b>12.6</b>
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	36	67.92%	<b>247.68</b>
		Some Times	3.89	11	20.75%	<b>42.79</b>
		No	0.74	1	1.89%	<b>0.74</b>
		Can't Judge	1.78	5	9.43%	<b>8.9</b>
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	45	84.91%	<b>303.75</b>
		Indifferent	2.78	4	7.55%	<b>11.12</b>
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.94	4	7.55%	<b>7.76</b>
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	46	86.79%	<b>529</b>
		Not Sincere	1.33	2	3.77%	<b>2.66</b>
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	3.77%	<b>10.12</b>
		Blank	4.03	3	5.66%	<b>12.09</b>
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	26	49.06%	<b>292.5</b>
		Good	9.03	17	32.08%	<b>153.51</b>
		Fair	6.46	6	11.32%	<b>38.76</b>
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.03	4	7.55%	<b>8.12</b>

**Head of The Department****Strength and Weakness**

- sincere and good class
- .

**Special Remarks**

- good
- .



**Staff : SOUMYA BALAN R**

**Subject : EST120 - BASICS OF CIVIL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERING**

**Session Name: End Evaluation**

**Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020**

**Users Completed : 52**

**Batch : BTech KTU MA 2K19**

**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

**Overall Score : 84.25**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	31	59.62%	<b>550.25</b>
		Good	14.13	16	30.77%	<b>226.08</b>
		Fair	10.28	3	5.77%	<b>30.84</b>
		Poor	3.53	1	1.92%	<b>3.53</b>
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.92%	<b>8</b>
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	25	48.08%	<b>362.5</b>
		Good	11.81	18	34.62%	<b>212.58</b>
		Fair	8.11	7	13.46%	<b>56.77</b>
		Poor	2.01	1	1.92%	<b>2.01</b>
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.92%	<b>4.09</b>
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	29	55.77%	<b>217.5</b>
		Good	5.71	13	25%	<b>74.23</b>
		Fair	4.04	7	13.46%	<b>28.28</b>
		Poor	1.13	1	1.92%	<b>1.13</b>
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	3.85%	<b>4.42</b>
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	35	67.31%	<b>204.75</b>
		10 to 25	3.66	7	13.46%	<b>25.62</b>
		More than 25	1.91	3	5.77%	<b>5.73</b>
		Can't Judge	1.64	7	13.46%	<b>11.48</b>
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	37	71.15%	<b>148</b>
Yes		0.67	7	13.46%	<b>4.69</b>	
Can't Judge		1.65	8	15.38%	<b>13.2</b>	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	29	55.77%	<b>268.25</b>
		Satisfactory	6.34	19	36.54%	<b>120.46</b>
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.92%	<b>2.5</b>
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	5.77%	<b>9.48</b>
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	41	78.85%	<b>194.75</b>
		Too Fast	1.34	3	5.77%	<b>4.02</b>
		Can't Judge	1.40	8	15.38%	<b>11.2</b>
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	34	65.38%	<b>233.92</b>
		Some Times	3.89	14	26.92%	<b>54.46</b>
		No	0.74	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.78	4	7.69%	<b>7.12</b>
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	40	76.92%	<b>270</b>
		Indifferent	2.78	4	7.69%	<b>11.12</b>
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	3.85%	<b>2.56</b>
		Can't Judge	1.94	6	11.54%	<b>11.64</b>
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	40	76.92%	<b>460</b>
		Not Sincere	1.33	4	7.69%	<b>5.32</b>
		Unable to Judge	5.06	5	9.62%	<b>25.3</b>
		Blank	4.03	3	5.77%	<b>12.09</b>
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	31	59.62%	<b>348.75</b>
		Good	9.03	11	21.15%	<b>99.33</b>
		Fair	6.46	3	5.77%	<b>19.38</b>
		Poor	1.56	1	1.92%	<b>1.56</b>
		Can't Judge	2.03	6	11.54%	<b>12.18</b>

**Head of The Department****Strength and Weakness**

- not sincere
- .

**Special Remarks**

- poor
- .



**Staff : DONA SEBASTIAN**

**Subject : HUT102 - PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATION**

**Session Name: End Evaluation**

**Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020**

**Users Completed : 52**

**Batch : BTech KTU MA 2K19**

**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

**Overall Score : 93.66**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	37	71.15%	<b>656.75</b>
		Good	14.13	13	25%	<b>183.69</b>
		Fair	10.28	2	3.85%	<b>20.56</b>
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	35	67.31%	<b>507.5</b>
		Good	11.81	15	28.85%	<b>177.15</b>
		Fair	8.11	2	3.85%	<b>16.22</b>
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	38	73.08%	<b>285</b>
		Good	5.71	10	19.23%	<b>57.1</b>
		Fair	4.04	4	7.69%	<b>16.16</b>
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	41	78.85%	<b>239.85</b>
		10 to 25	3.66	5	9.62%	<b>18.3</b>
		More than 25	1.91	2	3.85%	<b>3.82</b>
		Can't Judge	1.64	4	7.69%	<b>6.56</b>
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	45	86.54%	<b>180</b>
Yes		0.67	4	7.69%	<b>2.68</b>	
Can't Judge		1.65	3	5.77%	<b>4.95</b>	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	44	84.62%	<b>407</b>
		Satisfactory	6.34	8	15.38%	<b>50.72</b>
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	49	94.23%	<b>232.75</b>
		Too Fast	1.34	1	1.92%	<b>1.34</b>
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	3.85%	<b>2.8</b>
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	42	80.77%	<b>288.96</b>
		Some Times	3.89	8	15.38%	<b>31.12</b>
		No	0.74	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	3.85%	<b>3.56</b>
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	49	94.23%	<b>330.75</b>
		Indifferent	2.78	1	1.92%	<b>2.78</b>
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	3.85%	<b>3.88</b>
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	51	98.08%	<b>586.5</b>
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Blank	4.03	1	1.92%	<b>4.03</b>
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	42	80.77%	<b>472.5</b>
		Good	9.03	6	11.54%	<b>54.18</b>
		Fair	6.46	3	5.77%	<b>19.38</b>
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.92%	<b>2.03</b>

**Head of The Department****Strength and Weakness**

- good class
- .

**Special Remarks**

- good
- .



**Staff : NARMADA RN**

**Subject : HUT102 - PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATION**

**Session Name: End Evaluation**

**Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020**

**Users Completed : 51**

**Batch : BTech KTU MA 2K19**

**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

**Overall Score : 93.67**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	42	82.35%	<b>745.5</b>
		Good	14.13	8	15.69%	<b>113.04</b>
		Fair	10.28	1	1.96%	<b>10.28</b>
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	41	80.39%	<b>594.5</b>
		Good	11.81	8	15.69%	<b>94.48</b>
		Fair	8.11	2	3.92%	<b>16.22</b>
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	40	78.43%	<b>300</b>
		Good	5.71	9	17.65%	<b>51.39</b>
		Fair	4.04	2	3.92%	<b>8.08</b>
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	<b>0</b>
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	42	82.35%	<b>245.7</b>
		10 to 25	3.66	2	3.92%	<b>7.32</b>
		More than 25	1.91	2	3.92%	<b>3.82</b>
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	9.8%	<b>8.2</b>
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	41	80.39%	<b>164</b>
Yes		0.67	5	9.8%	<b>3.35</b>	
Can't Judge		1.65	5	9.8%	<b>8.25</b>	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	40	78.43%	<b>370</b>
		Satisfactory	6.34	9	17.65%	<b>57.06</b>
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	3.92%	<b>6.32</b>
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	48	94.12%	<b>228</b>
		Too Fast	1.34	1	1.96%	<b>1.34</b>
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	3.92%	<b>2.8</b>
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	42	82.35%	<b>288.96</b>
		Some Times	3.89	8	15.69%	<b>31.12</b>
		No	0.74	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	1.96%	<b>1.78</b>
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	47	92.16%	<b>317.25</b>
		Indifferent	2.78	2	3.92%	<b>5.56</b>
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	3.92%	<b>3.88</b>
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	48	94.12%	<b>552</b>
		Not Sincere	1.33	2	3.92%	<b>2.66</b>
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Blank	4.03	1	1.96%	<b>4.03</b>
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	38	74.51%	<b>427.5</b>
		Good	9.03	9	17.65%	<b>81.27</b>
		Fair	6.46	3	5.88%	<b>19.38</b>
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.96%	<b>2.03</b>

**Head of The Department****Strength and Weakness**

- good lectures
- .

**Special Remarks**

- sincere
- .





**Staff : PRAVEEN KUMAR S**

**Subject : HUT102 - PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATION**

**Session Name: End Evaluation**

**Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020**

**Users Completed : 52**

**Batch : BTech KTU MA 2K19**

**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

**Overall Score : 92.98**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	41	78.85%	<b>727.75</b>
		Good	14.13	9	17.31%	<b>127.17</b>
		Fair	10.28	1	1.92%	<b>10.28</b>
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.92%	<b>8</b>
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	41	78.85%	<b>594.5</b>
		Good	11.81	9	17.31%	<b>106.29</b>
		Fair	8.11	1	1.92%	<b>8.11</b>
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.92%	<b>4.09</b>
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	40	76.92%	<b>300</b>
		Good	5.71	9	17.31%	<b>51.39</b>
		Fair	4.04	2	3.85%	<b>8.08</b>
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.92%	<b>2.21</b>
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	42	80.77%	<b>245.7</b>
		10 to 25	3.66	1	1.92%	<b>3.66</b>
		More than 25	1.91	3	5.77%	<b>5.73</b>
		Can't Judge	1.64	6	11.54%	<b>9.84</b>
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	41	78.85%	<b>164</b>
Yes		0.67	4	7.69%	<b>2.68</b>	
Can't Judge		1.65	7	13.46%	<b>11.55</b>	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	44	84.62%	<b>407</b>
		Satisfactory	6.34	6	11.54%	<b>38.04</b>
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	3.85%	<b>6.32</b>
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	46	88.46%	<b>218.5</b>
		Too Fast	1.34	2	3.85%	<b>2.68</b>
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	7.69%	<b>5.6</b>
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	44	84.62%	<b>302.72</b>
		Some Times	3.89	5	9.62%	<b>19.45</b>
		No	0.74	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	5.77%	<b>5.34</b>
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	47	90.38%	<b>317.25</b>
		Indifferent	2.78	2	3.85%	<b>5.56</b>
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	1.94	3	5.77%	<b>5.82</b>
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	48	92.31%	<b>552</b>
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	3.85%	<b>10.12</b>
		Blank	4.03	2	3.85%	<b>8.06</b>
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	42	80.77%	<b>472.5</b>
		Good	9.03	6	11.54%	<b>54.18</b>
		Fair	6.46	1	1.92%	<b>6.46</b>
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	<b>0</b>
		Can't Judge	2.03	3	5.77%	<b>6.09</b>

**Head of The Department****Strength and Weakness**

- very sincere
- .

**Special Remarks**

- good
- .